Supplemental Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

what their policies are back there, what their reasons are.

2.2

MR. DeFRANCO: I understand, Your Honor. We are certainly willing to go forward in January. We actually put in December. We have another trial starting for Sony on January 2nd in the District the Utah. That's why we asked for mid December.

I don't know if December is out at this point but we could certainly work toward that trial date. That's in line with what the court said of the Westinghouse case. That's a case of similar complexity as this one.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want --

MR. WAMSLEY: Your Honor, James Wamsley again for Vizio. As between December and January we would have a preference for January.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to give you a little more leeway. I will set it for January 26th then.

January 26th would be the trial date.

The pretrial would be January 10th. The motion cut-off will be November 10th. The discovery, November 1st.

Counsel, I should talk to you a little bit about claim construction. Each court does claim construction differently, as you know.

We do claim construction as part of the summary judgment. The Markman hearing is part of the summary judgment.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

So I want to let you know that. Anything else I should tell you about as far as procedure goes? I don't know if you have any questions. I'm more than willing to address them.

2.1

MR. WAMSLEY: If the court will indulge me, I do have a few. There were some differences between the parties in the 26(f) report on a number of issues. How would the court like us to -- should we discuss those with you or how would you like us to proceed with respect to those?

THE COURT: I will be happy to talk to you about that. Each court does it differently. We've talked about scheduling today and we've got those dates set.

Anything else I really put for the attorneys on both sides to be able to resolve it as much as you can by yourselves. You get much better results. You know your case.

If you get input into it you get much better results on it. Anything you can't agree on, bring to me by way of written motion. I will be more than happy to decide it. But I really ask and push for you guys to put together as much as you can yourselves. If you can't do it anymore I will be happy to decide.

The trouble is, once I decide then your input is gone. If you decide it yourselves you have much more input, comes out much better results for both sides.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

My suggestion is and one of the things you learn in this court and I have no problem, particularly with patent law because it's almost never a problem but the civility and professionalism of the attorneys in this court and working together I put a lot of emphasis on.

Probably more so than most courts. I really like the idea of the attorneys being the attorneys and resolving things in a very professional way. I have no problem deciding any issue you want to bring before the court but it has to be by written motion.

The biggest decision is who buys who coffee when you to go down and talk about this.

(LAUGHTER)

THE COURT: Anything else I can do for you? If not, thank you very much.

Oh counsel, your trial estimate on the, I believe one was 20 days and one was 10 days. I'm going to leave those like everybody else, leave those trial estimates until we get to trial. I would be surprised if this was more than two weeks. I don't want to get into that until I find out what the witnesses are going to testify to, what's the complexity, what patents are involved, etc. Okay.

(PROCEEDINGS WERE ADJOURNED)

2.0